Pattern Classification using Rectified Nearest Feature Line Segment



Hao Du¹ and Yan Qiu Chen¹

¹Department of Computer Science and Engineering Fudan University

ICNC'05 - FSKD'05, Aug.27th, 2005

Pattern classifiers

Nearest Neighbor (NN)

- T.M. Cover and P.E. Hart, 1967
- Simplest
- Effective
- Most popular
- The proposed method : RNFLS
 - A classifier to improve NN
 - by an average of 3%
 correct classification rate



Problem of Nearest Neighbor

Risks occur in the intersection area





Sample Distribution

Density Function

Motivation : Centralization

e How to improve NN in the intersection area?





History: 'Feature Line'

- From NN -> NFL -> RNFLS
 - Nearest Neighbor (NN)
 - T.M. Cover and P.E. Hart, 1967
 - Nearest Feature Line (NFL)
 - S.Z. Li and J. Lu, 1999
 - Rectified-NFL-Segment (RNFLS)
 - H. Du and Y.Q. Chen, this Paper
- NFL & RNFLS support the original sample points to improve NN classifier

The Method (1/2)

 Using line segments connect each pair of samples from one class



Feature Line Segments support the original samples

Why it works?

ID – example



The Method (2/2)

Oistance metric:

 classifying by finding out the Nearest Feature Line Segment to the query point



NFL-Segment

Two-Gaussian Example

 Sample distribution is centralized by NFL-Segment





Correct-classification-rate increases
 82% -> 86%

What if multi-center distribution?

Problem:

 Feature lines of one class may trespass other class area, causing decision errors



Rectified NFL-Segment

- Idea : remove all the feature lines trespassing the territory of other classes
- Territorysample-territory
 - class-territory



As shown in the picture, feature line segment X_1X_3 will be removed for it trespasses the territory of class "cross"

Review the whole process

Our Density Functions are centralized in different areas



(a) Nearest Neighbor



(b) NFL-Segment



(c) Rectified-NFL-Segment

Two-spiral Experiments

Centralization property helps to optimize the decision region



Experiments: UCI-datasets

- Real-World Problems
 - UCI datasets
 - CCR(%) by leave-one-out procedure

	Dataset	#Classes	#Instances	#Attributes	NN	3NN	NFL	NNL	RNFLS
1	hepatitis	2	80	19	92.5	91.3	91.3	76.3	91.3
2	iris	3	150	4	94.7	94.7	88.7	94.7	95.3
3	housing	6	506	13	70.8	73.0	71.1	67.6	73.5
4	pima	2	768	8	70.6	73.6	67.1	62.8	73.0
5	wine	3	178	13	95.5	95.5	92.7	78.7	97.2
6	bupa	2	345	6	63.2	65.2	63.5	57.4	66.4
7	ionosphere	2	351	34	86.3	84.6	85.2	87.2	94.3
8	wpbc	2	194	32	72.7	68.6	72.7	54.1	75.8
9	wdbc	2	569	30	95.1	96.5	95.3	64.0	97.2
10	glass	6	214	9	70.1	72.0	66.8	65.4	72.0

Interesting property of Feature Line(1/3)

S.Z. Li (1999), Nearest Feature Line: 0

In Face-Recognition problem, Feature Line linearly expand two prototypes, approximating variants of two prototypes in

- pose C
- illumination 0
- expression C



Interesting property of Feature Line(2/3)

Another example:



(a) Original Samples

(b) Decision Region by NN

Interesting property of Feature Line(3/3)

Another example:



(c) Feature Lines

(d) Decision Region by NFL



Thank you!

ICNC'05 - FSKD'05, Aug.27th, 2005